

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,427	06/29/2001	Andrew Karellas	301506.1037-014	1123
30407	7590 02/11/2003			
BOWDITCH & DEWEY, LLP 161 WORCESTER ROAD			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 9320			HANIG, RICHARD E	
FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701-9320				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	$M_{\sim}$		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	•	09/896,427	KARELLAS, ANDREW		
Office Action Summary		Examin r	Art Unit		
,		Richard Hanig	2873		
Periogò fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation app ars on the cov r she t v	vith the correspondenc address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a inication.  f days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO rill, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2	b) This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the a	pplication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers	on and/or election requirement.			
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10)🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 June 2001</u> i	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a)  approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ	ired in reply to this Office action.			
12)	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to I	by the Examiner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received in A	Application No		
* S		f the priority documents have beer tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not	_		
		·	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a)	The translation of the foreign lang	uage provisional application has b	een received.		
م لياره Attachment	-	asmootid priority under 00 0.0.0	. 33 120 and/or 121.		
1) D Notice 2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	0-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/896,427

Art Unit: 2873

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/28/03 has been entered.
- The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the claims are drawn to an optical storage element and the abstract should reflect this. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 5864146. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the parent is drawn to examining a patient's oral cavity and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the invention on any region of the patient.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,427

Art Unit: 2873

Claims 1-26 will be allowed upon submission of a terminal disclaimer.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: 5. The drawing objection is with drawn, fig 10 does show an intensifier. The amended claims with

the inclusion of the simultaneously illumination limitation overcomes the art of record.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Richard Hanig whose telephone number is 703-308-4853. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 703-308-4883. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-4744 for regular

communications and 703-746-4744 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 3

Technology Center 2800

February 6, 2003